S0040-4020(96)00231-1

Nickel-Catalyzed Hydroxylation of 1,3-Dicarbonyl Compounds by Dimethyldioxirane

Waldemar Adam, Alexander K. Smcrz*

Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Würzburg, Am Hubland, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

Abstract: Various 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds were directly hydroxylated by dimethyldioxirane, a preparative useful extension of this oxidation is the efficient catalysis by Ni(II) salts through chelation.

Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

Dimethyldioxirane has been well established as an extraordinary oxidizing agent during the last decade. Thus, it was shown that especially with isolated dimethyldioxirane (as acetone solution), lolefin epoxidations, C-H insertions and heteroatom oxidations can be performed selectively under mild conditions. To explore the reactivity of this unique oxidizing agent, we have already reported on the oxidation of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds with dimethyldioxirane (DMD); nevertheless, even though a high excess of DMD was used, the reaction was slow (3 days) and limited to reactive substrates. Herein we describe the highly efficient nickel(II) catalysis in the oxidation of 2-alkylated 1,3-dicarbonyl substances to the corresponding hydroxy derivatives by DMD.

As shown in Table 1, the 2-hydroxy-1,3-dicarbonyl compounds 2 were the only products obtained in the oxidation of the various dicarbonyl substrates 1 by dimethyldioxirane (Scheme 1). The reactivity of the

Scheme 1: Oxidation of 1,3-Dicarbonyl Compounds with DMD

dicarbonyl substrates strongly depends on the substitution pattern; for instance, the order diketone > keto esters > diester was observed for the reactivity towards dimethyldioxirane. It is also apparent from Table 1 that cyclic substrates show a higher reactivity in their oxidation by DMD than the corresponding acyclic ones, e.g. the cyclic diester 1d versus the acyclic ketoester 1e (entries 7, 9). This reactivity order is in agreement with the general trend of decreasing enol content in the keto-enol equilibrium for 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds⁴ since cyclic systems tend to enolize more readily than the analogous open chain ones.⁵

A limiting factor of this oxidation route was that the keto esters 1e, 1g and diesters 1f, 1h reacted only very sluggishly with DMD or not at all. To improve the reactivity, catalytic amounts (0.1 equiv.) of Ni(II) salts were employed, since this transition metal was successfully used as catalyst for the alkylations of 1,3-dicarbonyl substrates.⁶ Indeed, even the diester 1f, which in the absence of a catalyst was too sluggish to react, was successfully oxidized when 0.1 equiv. of nickel(II) acetate was used. The catalysis also proved to be effective for the slowly reacting substrates 1c-e, whereas no acceleration was noted for the fast reacting compounds 1a and 1b. Furthermore, the Ni(II) catalyst was more effective than base or ⁿBu₄NF, although the latter two

	entry	starting material	R ¹	R ²	R ³	catalyst (0.1 equiv.)	time [h]	conversion ^a [%]
•	1	1a	-(CH ₂) ₄ -		Et	1	3	88
	2	1a	-(CH ₂) ₄ -		Et	Ni(acac) ₂	3	90
	3	1 b	-O-(CH ₂) ₂ -		Me	1	3.5	>95
	4	1 b	-O-(CH ₂) ₂ -		Me	Ni(acac)2	3.5	>95
	5	1 c	-(CH ₂) ₃ -		OE t	1	3.5	75
	6	1 c	-(CH ₂) ₃ -		OΕι	Ni(acac)2	3.5	>95
	7	1d	-O-(CH ₂) ₂ -		OEt	1	5	46
	8	1d	-O-(CH ₂) ₂ -		OE t	Ni(OAc) ₂	5	84
	9	1 e	Me	CH_2Ph	OE t	1	4.25	11
	10	1 e	Me	CH_2Ph	OE t	Ni(acac)2	4.25	78
	11	1 f	OEt	Me	OEt	/	120 ^b	0
	12	1 f	OEt	Me	OEt	Ni(OAc) ₂	12	47
	13	1 g	Me	H	OEt	1	24	35
	14	1 g	Me	H	OEt	Ni(OAc) ₂	24	>95 ^c
	15	1 h	OMe	Н	OMe	1	24	15
	16	1h	OMe	Н	OMe	Ni(OAc) ₂	16	>95

Table 1: Oxidation of 1,3-Dicarbonyl Compounds

catalysts have been found to be expedient for similar oxidations.⁷ Thus, when the oxidation of the derivative 1f was performed under the same conditions (entry 12), but with NaHCO₃ or ⁿBu₄NF as catalyst, only 5 and 28% conversions were obtained. It is also important to note that the selective monohydroxylation of substrate 1h could be efficiently catalyzed by Ni(II), whereas the diketo ester hydrate 3g was obtained when an excess of DMD (2.2 equiv.) was used in the nickel-catalyzed oxidation of the keto ester 1g. In this case, the direct oxidation with DMD without the Ni(II) catalyst yielded the monohydroxylated product 2g; therefore, entries 13 and 14 provide an exceptional example in which the presence of the catalyst also effects the extent of hydroxylation, i.e. mono- versus dihydroxylation.

To compare the reactivity of epoxidation versus hydroxylation, the known,8 difunctionalized keto ester 1i was oxidized with 1.1 equiv. dimethyldioxirane (Scheme 2). In the absence of Ni(II) catalyst the two

Scheme 2: Chemoselective Epoxidation of a Difunctionalized Keto Ester

diastereomeric epoxides were obtained chemoselectively in the DMD oxidation of 1i, which shows that epoxidation is preferred over hydroxylation. When the catalytic procedure with Ni(II) was run, α -hydroxylation became competitive with epoxidation and a complex product mixture was obtained. While the Ni(II)-catalyzed

^a Conversions were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of characteristic signals, the yields were >95%.

^b The reaction mixture was still peroxidic. ^c The diketo ester hydrate 3g is formed exclusively.

for this substrate is of little preparative value, it demonstrates once again that Ni(II) catalysis activates the diffunctionalized substrate towards α -hydroxylation.

These data imply that the oxidation of 1,3-dicarbonyl substrates does not proceed by direct C-H bond insertion, which is currently subject of controversial discussion,⁹ but instead by epoxidation of the enol form in the keto-enol equilibrium and subsequent ring-opening to the 2-hydroxylated 1,3-dicarbonyl products (Scheme 3). The proposed mechanism is supported by the facts that substrates with higher enol content react

Scheme 3: Proposed Mechanism for the Oxidation of 1,3-Dicarbonyl Compounds

very fast and more efficiently and that the reaction is catalyzed through Ni(II) chelating, which supplies a larger amount of enol form activated towards epoxidation. Decomplexation and ring-opening of the enol epoxides leads then to the product.

In the present report we have shown that Ni(II) serves as an effective catalyst for the hydroxylation of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds by DMD. The usual methods for the oxidative α hydroxylation of require the prior or *in situ* generation of enolates by equimolar amounts of base; hence, the use of catalytic amounts of Ni(II) for the α hydroxylation of carbonyl substrates should be of preparative interest, as illustrated for the industrially relevant compounds 2d and 2f, which have been described in several recent patents. 11,12

Experimental Section

General Aspects

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 200 spectrometer by using CDCl₃ as internal standard. Potassium iodide-starch paper (Merck) was used for the peroxide tests. Dimethyldioxirane was prepared according to our described procedure, all starting materials were made according to literature-known procedures. Commercial compounds were used as received, solvents were purified and dried by reported standard methods.

General Procedure for the Nickel-catalyzed Oxidations of 1,3-Dicarbonyl Compounds by Dimethyldioxirane: 0.1 equivalent of the Ni(II) salt was dissolved in 3-6 mL H₂O and the starting material and dimethyldioxirane (0.05-0.10 M solution in acetone) were successively added at room temperature (ca. 20 °C). The solution was stirred at room temperature and the solvent was removed in vacuo (20 °C, 20 mbar). The aqueous residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 15 ml), the organic phase was dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed to yield the hydroxylated products.

For details of the uncatalyzed oxidations, which were performed for each substrate under the same conditions as described below but without Ni(II) salts, cf. Table 1.

2-Hydroxy-2-(1-oxopropyl)cyclohexanone (2a). According to the general procedure, the reaction of 20.0 mg (0.080 mmol) Ni(OAc)₂*4H₂O and 125 mg (0.81 mmol) 2-(1-oxopropyl)cyclohexanone with a 0.09 M solution of dimethyldioxirane (15.0 mL, 1.36 mmol) yielded after 3 h 122 mg 2a (90%) as a light yellow needles, mp. 63-64°C. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.01 (t, J= 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.54-2.02 (m, 4H), 2.25-2.34 (m, 4H), 2.66 (q, J=7.3 Hz, 2H), 4.85 (br.s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.3 (q), 21.5 (d), 27.1 (d), 30.6 (d), 38.6 (d), 39.1 (d), 85.2 (d), 208.9 (d), 209.8 (d); IR (CCl₄): 3420, 2900, 1780, 1690, 1440, 1360, 1220, 1200, 1005, 1080, 890 cm⁻¹. Anal Calcd for C₉H₁₄O₃ (170.2): C, 63.51; H, 8.29. Found: C, 63.19; H, 8.55.

3-Hydroxy-3-(1-oxoethyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-one³ (**2b**). According to the general procedure, the reaction of 19.0 mg (0.070 mmol) Ni(Acac)₂•2H₂O and 89.7 mg (0.70 mmol) 3-(1-oxoethyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-one¹³ with a 0.10 M solution of dimethyldioxirane (7.00 mL, 0.70 mmol) yielded after 3.5 h 100 mg **2b** (99%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.32 (ddd, J_1 = 13.5 Hz, J_2 = 8.2 Hz, J_3 = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (ddd, J_1 = 13.5 Hz, J_2 = 7.2 Hz, J_3 = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.28-4.46 (m, 2H), 4.53 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 24.9 (t), 34.2 (q), 66.5 (t), 81.5 (s), 175.0 (s), 205.4 (s).

Ethyl 1-Hydroxy-2-oxocyclopentanecarboxylate³ (2c). According to the general procedure, the reaction of 19.0 mg (0.070 mmol) Ni(Acac)₂•2H₂O and 109 mg (0.70 mmol) methyl cyclopentan-2-onecarboxylate with a 0.10 M solution of dimethyldioxirane (7.00 mL, 0.70 mmol) yielded after 3.5 h 118 mg 2c (98%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.22 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.96-2.10 (m, 3H), 2.35-2.44 (m, 3H), 3.82 (s, 1H), 4.19 (q, J= 7.1 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.2 (q), 18.6 (t), 35.0 (t), 36.1 (t), 62.7 (t), 80.0 (s), 171.8 (s), 212.3 (s).

Ethyl 3-Hydroxy-2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate⁷ (2d). According to the general procedure, the reaction of 16.0 mg (0.063 mmol) Ni(Acac)₂•2H₂O and 100 mg (0.63 mmol) ethyl 2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate with a 0.058 M solution of dimethyldioxirane (13.1 mL, 0.76 mmol) yielded after 5 h 92.0 mg 2d (84%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.30 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H), 2.40-2.55 (m, 1H), 2.73 (ddd, J₁= 13.5 Hz, J₂= 6.5 Hz, J₃= 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 3H), 4.42-4.50 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 13.9 (q), 34.1 (t), 63.5 (t), 66.0 (t), 75.6 (s), 169.8 (s), 173.4 (s).

Ethyl 2-Hydroxy-3-oxo-2-phenylmethylbutanoate³ (2e). According to the general procedure, the reaction of 19.0 mg (0.070 mmol) Ni(Acac)₂•2H₂O and 154 mg (0.70 mmol) ethyl 3-oxo-2-phenylmethylbutanoate with a 0.067 M solution of dimethyldioxirane (10.5 mL, 0.70 mmol) yielded after 4.25 h at a conversion of 78% 153 mg 2e (72%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.28 (t, J= 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.28 (s, 3H), AB system (δ_A= 3.18, δ_B= 3.42, J_{A,B}=14.1 Hz, 2H), 4.09 (s, 1H), 4.63 (q, J= 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.21-7.27 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 10.1 (q), 25.0 (q), 40.6 (t), 62.8 (t), 84.1 (s), 127.1 (d), 128.1 (d), 130.1 (d), 134.6 (s), 170.5 (s), 204.0 (s).

Diethyl 2-Methyltartronate¹² (2f). According to the general procedure, the reaction of 14.0 mg (0.056 mmol) Ni(OAc)₂•4H₂O and 100 mg (0.56 mmol) ethyl 3-oxo-2-phenylmethylbutanoate with a 0.097 M solution of dimethyldioxirane (5.80 mL, 0.56 mmol) yielded after 12 h at a conversion of 47% 101 mg 2f (46%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.44 (t, J= 7.1 Hz, δ H), 1.59 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 1H), 4.12 (q, 4H); ¹³C NMR (δ 3 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 13.9 (q), 21.5 (q), δ 2.3 (t), 75.9 (s), 170.1 (s).

Ethyl 2-Hydroxy-3-oxobutanoate¹⁵ (2g). A 0.079 M solution of dimethyldioxirane (17.2 mL, 1.36 mmol) in acetone was added to 80.0 mg (0.62 mmol) ethyl 3-oxobutanoate in 6.0 mL H₂O. After 24 h 84.0 mg 2g (34%) were obtained at a conversion of 35%. Complete conversion to 2g was achieved after 4 d with 6 equiv. of DMD. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.30 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 4.26 (q, J=7.1 Hz), 2H), 4.77 (s, 1H), 5.3-5.9 (br.s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 13.9 (q), 26.0 (q), 62.5 (t), 78.1 (d), 168.1 (s), 202.1 (s).

Ethyl 2,2-Dihydroxy-3-oxobutanoate¹⁴ (3g). According to the general procedure, the reaction of 15.0 mg (0.062 mmol) Ni(OAc)₂•4H₂O and 80.0 mg (0.62 mmol) ethyl 3-oxobutanoate with a 0.066 M solution of dimethyldioxirane (20.4 mL, 1.36 mmol) yielded after 24 h 80.0 mg 3g (88%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.29 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 4.31 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.4-5.5 (br.s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 13.6 (q,), 23.1 (q), 63.3 (t), 92.5 (s), 168.9 (s), 201.0 (s).

Dimethyltartronate¹⁵ (2h). According to the general procedure, the reaction of 15.0 mg (0.062 mmol) Ni(OAc)₂•4H₂O and 80 mg (0.61 mmol) dimethyl malonate with a 0.066 M solution of dimethyldioxirane (20.0 mL, 1.34 mmol) yielded after 24 h 71.0 mg 2h (68%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.82 (s, 6H), 3.9-4.3 (br.s, 1H), 4.75 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 53.4 (q), 71.3 (d), 169.9 (s).

Ethyl 2-(2,3-Epoxypropyl)-3-oxobutanoate (2i). A 0.098 M solution of dimethyldioxirane (7.10 mL, 0.70 mmol) in acetone was added to 120 mg (0.70 mmol) ethyl 2-(1-oxoethyl)pent-4-enoate. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 4.5 h and the solvent was removed *in vacuo* (20 °C, 20 mbar) to yield 127 mg (98%) of a mixture of the two diastereomeric epoxides **2i** (d.r. 50 : 50) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (200MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.25 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.75-1.96 (cm, 2H), 2.14-2.35 (cm, 2H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.48 (dd, J₁= 4.8 Hz, J₂= 2.6 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (dd, J₁= 4.8 Hz, J₂= 2.6 Hz, 1H), 2.71-2.78 (cm, 2H), 2.90-3.15 (cm, 2H), 3.59-3.69 (cm, 2H), 4.21 (q, J= 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.22 (q, J= 7.2 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 13.9 (q), 14.0 (q), 29.0 (q), 29.4 (q), 30.5 (t), 30.9 (t), 47.2 (t), 47.4 (t), 49.8 (d), 49.9 (d), 56.0 (d), 56.4 (d), 61.6 (t), 61.6 (t), 169.0 (s), 196.1 (s), 202.1 (s), 202.1 (s); IR (CCl₄): 2940, 2885, 1715, 1690, 1340, 1225, 1165, 1130, 890 cm⁻¹. Anal Calcd for C₉H₁₄O₄ (186.2): C, 58.05; H, 7.58. Found: C, 57.65; H, 7.34.

Acknowledgment: Financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 347 "Selektive Reaktionen Metall-aktivierter Moleküle") and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr. M. J. Richter for helpful discussions.

References:

- (a) R. W. Murray, R. J. Jeyaraman, J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 2847-2853.
 (b) W. Adam, L. Hadjiarapoglou, J. Bialas, Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 2377.
- 2 W. Adam, L. Hadjiarapoglou, Top. Curr. Chem. 1993, 164, 45-62.
- 3 W. Adam, F. Prechtl, Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 2369-2372.
- J. Toullec in *The Chemistry of Enols*, Z. Rappoport (Ed.), J. Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1990, pp. 323-397.
- 5 G. Hesse in Methoden der Organischen Chemie (Houben Weyl); Enole, Endiole (Reduktone), Biosynthese von Hydroxyverbindungen H. Kropf, G. Hesse (Eds.), Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 1963, pp. 9-93.

- (a) K. Watanabe, K. Miyazu, K. Irie, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1982, 55, 3212-3215.
 (b) J. H. Nelson, P. N. Howells, G. C. DeLullo, G. H. Landen, R. A. Henry, J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1246-1249.
 (c) C. P. Fei, T. H. Chan, Synthesis 1982, 467-468.
- 7 H. H. Wasserman, J. E. Pickett, Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 2155-2162.
- (a) N. Ono, T. Yoshimura, T. Saito, R. Tamura, R. Tanikaga, A. Kah, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap. 1979, 52, 1716-1719.
 (b) J. R. Hwu, C. N. Chen, S.-S. Shiao, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 856-862.
- (a) A. Bravo, F. Fontana, G. Fronza, A. Mele, F. Minisci, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 1573-1574.
 (b) A. Bravo, F. Fontana, G. Fronza, F. Minisci, A. Serri, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 6945-6948.
 (c) R. Vanni, S. J. Garden, J. T. Banks, K. U. Ingold, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 7999-8002.
- 10 A. B. Jones in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis Vol.* 7 B. M. Trost, I. Fleming, S. V. Ley (Eds.) Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, p.151.
- 11 K. P. Lannert (Monsanto Co.), U.S. Publ. Pat. Appl. B. 426,157 [Chem. Abstr. 1976, 104, 206726].
- (a) C. Venturello, E. Alneri, A. Casallo, R. D'Aloisio, Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 166,348 [Chem. Abstr. 1986, 104, 206726].
 (b) S.p.A. Montedison, Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo Koho JP 57,145,840 [82,145,840] [Chem. Abstr. 1982, 98, 53187].
 (c) V. Di Toro, F. Gozzo, P. M. Boschi, Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 56,264 [Chem. Abstr. 1982, 97, 215574].
 (d) R. Santi, G. Cometti, A. Pagani, Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 230,916 [Chem. Abstr. 1986, 108, 215574].
- 13 M. L. Quesada, R. H. Schlessinger, J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 346-347.
- 14 A. Saba, Synth. Comm. 1994, 25, 695-699.
- 15 E. Ziegler, H. Wittmann, H. Sterk, Monatsh. Chem. 1989, 120, 907-912.

(Received in Germany 12 January 1996; accepted 19 February 1996)